HBF Annual Conference Community Infrastructure Levy

Stephen Ashworth Partner Denton Wilde Sapte

Community Infrastructure Levy Summary

The skeleton and emerging flesh of CIL

- Key issues
- A way forward?

- Planning Act, but CIL effectively outside the planning system
- Consultation papers August 2008/July 2009
- Draft 2010 Regulations
- Need for revised guidance (and further consultation?)
 - Circular 5/05
 - PPS12

- Up to date development plan what is up to date?
- Infrastructure plan
 - what relationship with PPS12?
 - what standard of assessment?
- Infrastructure costed
 - what infrastructure?
 - what standard of costing?
 - what assumptions about public sector contribution?

- CIL charging schedule promoted through inquiry but
 - not testing infrastructure assumptions
 - not testing cost assumptions
 - no soundness test
 - sole issue whether level will choke off too much development
- Presumption CIL allocated per square metre why?
- If split geographically/by land use types then must be split by value/viability

- Charging process mandatory
 - any discretion?
 - payment profile negotiation
- Other planning requirements
 - section 106, long lives the king
 - section 278

Community Infrastructure Levy Skeleton of CIL: missing flesh

Delivery obligations

 Relationship with affordable housing save to say that there will be no reduction in levels as a consequence of CIL

Grampians

Community Infrastructure Levy A way forward : five key issues

- Apportionment of CIL: value or impact
- An escape valve : discretion in exceptional circumstances
- No Grampian conditions: if pay then no constraints other than to infrastructure programme
- Kill section 106/278 agreements: no need if CIL covers all off site infrastructure
- Delivery obligations/works in kind

Community Infrastructure Levy Conclusion

Fair, simple, certain?

Flawed as it stands

Easily corrected

 CIL needs to be supported because otherwise tariffs may be left to new localism