

#### HBF Conference October 2013



### "What's Happening with the Flood & Water Management Act 2010"

STEPHEN WIELEBSKI MSc (Dist) CEnv PEng FCIOB FBEng MSPE ACIArb FRSA DIVISIONAL DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR



## The current position .....

 Over six years since the floods of 2007 and which led to the Pitt Report of 2008 – cross party acceptance of all 90+ recommendations but where are we today?

"Caught somewhere between hesitation hill and decision valley but it may be about to enter the culvert of destiny".



### Legislative timetable – an update for your diary

- Introduction of Section 42 & the 'National Standards' for foul sewers date still unknown but the earliest that this can possibly happen is 1<sup>st</sup> October 2014.
- Introduction of SuDS Standards final date still unknown but it could be 1<sup>st</sup> April 2014 (or later) in England.
- 1<sup>st</sup> October 2016 latest date by which all pumping stations serving two or more dwelling transfer to the WaSC.
- Wales following introduction of the MBS in Oct 2012 no further indication at all from WAG in terms of SuDS Standards.



## What is happening in Wales?

- MBS introduced in October 2012 transitional arrangements came to an end on 1<sup>st</sup> October 2013 – after this date full compliance with MBS.
- Welsh Water operate in parts of England Severn Trent operate in parts of Wales – we don't want Welsh Water standards in England.
- HBF members operating in Wales report some difficulties in securing S104 agreement and well outside the originally stated Welsh Water KPI commitment – Welsh Water say the opposite!
- Welsh Water have imposed 33% bonding requirement for all adoptable sewers – if applied in England it would severely constrain our ability to provide new homes.
- Evidence points to surface water sewers meeting the required vesting criteria as of 1<sup>st</sup> October 2011 are not being adopted by WW.
- Evidence we need to know from developers what reality actually is.



### MBS – what has happened since October 2012?

- 7<sup>th</sup> Nov 2012 HBF submit a dedicated paper to Defra outlining its assessment of the additional costs associated with the MBS.
- Dec 2012 Defra create dedicated task and finish groups with the objective of resolving a number of clearly identified issues.
- Jan/Feb 2013 Defra retained consultants supposedly committed to engaging with HBF as part of its remit to evaluate both the technical and cost differences – i.e. MBS versus SfA 6<sup>th</sup> Edition/Part H - it didn't happen until much later in the year.
- April 2013 Defra consultants issue their report and costs HBF find many inconsistencies and fatal flaws.
- May 2013 Based on the output from their consultants, Defra proceed to submit their IA to the RPC – HBF in an unprecedented move agree with the RPC that a rebuttal submission from the house building industry is of intrinsic importance.



### MBS – what has happened since October 2012 cont.

- June 2013 RPC confirms Defra's IA is not fit for purpose and effectively red cards the submission.
- August 2013 HBF prepares and submits a Policy Review Paper to HM Treasury, BRE/BIS, and the No 10 Policy Group. As one of many sensible suggestions the HBF paper advocates reliance on Part H of the Building Regs as the national standard.
- Paper well received by Government and prompts cross Government department discussions with the focus clearly on Defra's future intentions.
- Current position awaiting further developments with the Secretary of State still to confirm what the mandatory build standards will be.
- HBF/Defra advice continue to secure S104 technical approvals in accordance with SfA 6<sup>th</sup> Edition and Part H Building Regulations.



### SuDS Standards – the story so far

- Early 2013 Defra create a further series of dedicated task and finish groups; these are currently on-going and working towards the provision of non-statutory guidance and process recommendations.
- A number of critical issues have emerged:-
  - 1. How do we deal with 3<sup>rd</sup> party land restrictions likely that there will be no requisition procedures granted to SABs
  - Surface water run-off quality implications no link to the contaminated land regime at present
  - **3.** Bonding provision still at levels causing considerable concern
  - 4. Land take implications/additional costs/project viability implications
- Current position Secretary of State still to confirm what the SuDS Standards will be.

# miller

## SuDS: land use/impact on layout density

Actual case study: (393 dwellings)

Gross Area	36.30 Acres
POS	7.71 Acres
SuDS Land Take*	1.23 Acres
Net Area	27.36 Acres

\*<u>SuDS as %age of net land area 4.3%</u>

Density – 14.4 dwellings/acre (SuDS land-take equates to loss of 18+ dwellings

<u>SuDS – equivalent development land cost circa £652k</u>



## Who else have we been engaging with?

- British Geological Survey (BGS) the importance of SuDS infiltration mapping as an integral part of the land acquisition desk study/due diligence process.
- Infiltration mapping also of intrinsic importance when discussing surface water drainage strategy with the SAB.
- DCLG who have responsibility for managing the 'one in two out' process.
- Environment Agency discussions about surface water run-off quality.
- We are about to engage with the RPC for a second time but in relation to SuDS.
- Water UK who share the same concern about the MBS/SuDS Standards as the HBF.



## A new working relationship with Water UK

- Memorandum of Understanding between HBF & Water UK now in place – effective from February 2013.
- Recognition that we have areas of common interest.
- Structure comprises of a Policy Group of four (two from Water UK/two from HBF) and a Joint Water UK/HBF Developers Committee looking at best practice guidance and procedures.
- Water UK share similar concerns to HBF concerning the MBS.
- Latest news we have agreed to put a joint proposal to Defra that will see Water UK/HBF working together to produce appropriate standards and guidance for the MBS. Reliance on Part H of the Building Regulations and SfA 6<sup>th</sup> Edition will be at the core of these proposals.



## **Other emerging working relationships**

- HBF & WRAS have recently agreed a Memorandum of Understanding this came into effect in September 2013.
- Why was this beneficial again areas of common interest and concern.
- In the context of SuDS WRAS have similar concerns to HBF relating to RWH and the wider public health issues. This may well result in a joint submission as part of the emerging SuDS Standards work that is ongoing with Defra.
- HBF currently in discussions with UKWIR in the hope that a third MoU can be brokered.



## What of the next 12 months?

- HBF will continue to engage with Defra and others to bring about the best possible introduction of the MBS and SuDS Standards.
- Potential of further discussions with HM Treasury
- Newly convened Bonds Working Group tasked with looking at all aspects of bonding – made up of principal partner/stakeholder interests
- Water Bill some good points for house builders (fair and equitable charging) - other aspects not so good.
- Important that we continue to engage with Government.
- Call for evidence from HBF members



## Thank you & any questions?