



HBF Planning Conference 2015

Richard Crawley, Programme Manager

September 16th 2015

www.pas.gov.uk



1.PAS? 2.Resources 3.Performance 4.Thoughts

The Planning Advisory Service

We

- Are funded by £2m from DCLG to the LGA
- Work for English Planning Authorities
- Have been around ever since 2005 (Planning Act) and subsequent reform
- Reduce cost, risk and delay through pilots, toolkits, Q&A

We are not

• A thinktank, "experts", a lobby group or researchers







Good Plan Making Guide Pass Rabbig Phoniphia dia Franklisseria Superviser 1214



Doing your duty practice update

Local C.



Local

Galling down in loamens: income and tips from involving from in sulphourhood STREET,

Local





On your marks, get set, go?

Implementing the Community

treak.

111



treel.









Lough

Advice for practicioners



Working with developers in plan making Experience from Vork and Deconum

Local (Setting the bar

Developing and adopting a Commanity inhastracture Levy











poinnals box A simple guide for councillore















int.

Case study











Will the work Authors for Car



Neighbourhood planning:

A simple guide for word councillors inplated June 2012)









aca L.

And the local design of the local

Hew adopted local plans can help

at independency gamba for parentitions

II II





level (



EL DI NEER





PAS

 We do lots of things, but limited to the needs of people in councils

- This focus may be why we've made it this far

- Feel free to suggest improvements to us, and to suggest to councils they make use of our work
 - Eg 'Pre-application suite'
 - Eg 'Using & discharging conditions'
- Our bulletin is not news



2. Resources

- By chance we know lots about resourcing planning (we were preparing for fees)
 - What was supposed to be covered by the fee ?
 - And what was RSG ?
- It's a bit out of date
 - But still the best source of data around

Benchmark 2009 - 2014

- e.g. 2012 benchmark:
- 235 Councils finished. Good mix of big/small urban/rural counties / districts etc
- 8,000 people submitted at least 4 timesheets
- Model of £0.5bn of costs
- Feedback from 3,000 applicants
- 94% of councils* said "benchmark report gave us information to help us improve"

* Who expressed a preference

"Planning": £spend in proportion



– And so even more at risk ?

Estimated annual cost of application processing (£379.1M)

 Shortfall is about 1/3rd But not equal across • Cost not all development types covered by fee £122.8M 32% Cost covered by fee £256.3M 68%

(some) Councils said

- Take the one-off pain rebase fees
- In return we accept the need for a downward pressure on performance to prevent costs rising
- Ringfencing fees within planning will prevent problems across council departments
- Resourcing problems are already serious, but are about to get worse 2016 onwards
- Public sector is failing to compete with private

(some) developers said

- Resourcing is already a problem. Central London is an outlier.
- Trust is an issue. No meaningful sanction and a monopoly provider.
- Fee model should change to cover the whole process
- Performance regime needs to include councillors / appeals / policy / behaviours
- Not a totaly free hand on fee setting, but national base fees with local flex ?

The LGA

"introducing locally-set planning fees to ensure effective, responsive and fully funded council planning services, removing the burden from taxpayers who currently subsidise 30 per cent of total costs"

Spending Smarter: A Shared Commitment

The Local Government Association's 2015 Spending Review Submission

3. Performance

- We've been banging on about performance for years. It's difficult – the rabbit hole of "good planning"
- Doesn't have to be perfect to be useful
 - Surveys
 - Housebuilding end-to-end

3. Performance

- We've learned that stats are only part of the story
 - Statistics (how long / what happened)
 - Perceptions (how you felt about it)
- We have a number crunching machine
- And a survey machine

- Agents, applicants, neighbours, reviewers

Housebuilding end-to-end times



• N=1260

3. Performance

- First results are coming back in (n = 1000)
- We ask four questions:
- 1. How helpful were we?
- 2. How well did we use information ?
- 3. How well did we use the time ?
- 4. How clear is our decision ?
- Not a general survey, but on this case at this site dealt with by this officer.

Answers are scaled to 100%

Taking all answers together to make "overall happiness"

	approve	refuse	
Agent	86	51	
Applicant	85	27	
Neighbour	43	73	

 This might be early adopter syndrome, but this is really good

And what about the 4 axes ?

Agent & Apps	Help		Time	Info		Decision
approve		87	82		85	90
refuse		37	46		51	70
Neighbour	Help		Time	Info		Decision
approve		53	14			43
refuse		70	21			79

• Neighbours feel disregarded

How do neighbours discover ?



- The process is still mostly triggered by a letter
- Making developers responsible ?

Closing thoughts

- 1. Planning is often an excellent service !
- 2. Let's rebase the fees
 - Your bills need to go up a few %. Other sectors vary some will not be happy.
 - You should expect better services in return
- 3. We shouldn't confuse planning being business-like with planning being a business
- 4. You, the applicant, are only part of the resourcing story (eg policy & enforcement)



Don't forget the bulletin. It's not another news service.



<u>Richard.Crawley@local.gov.uk</u> @RichardatPAS

pas@local.gov.uk web www.pas.gov.uk phone 020 7664 3000